

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/649,270	08/28/2000	Lawrence A. Crowl	SUN1P380/P4501 6759		
22434	7590 01/03/2006		EXAMINER		
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			VU, TUAN A		
P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2193	TATER NOMBER	
		2173			
			DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/649,270	CROWL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tuan A. Vu	2193	

	ruan A. Vu	2193	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in iance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	affidavit, or other evidence with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	in SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on a been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expine a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
	but prior to the data of filing a brie	of will mot be entered t	h
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NC		because
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		e, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-10,12-16 and 19-22</u> .	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	,
			an
		TAN V. MAI	
		DDIMADVEVALA	NICO

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments include object code file as to replace compressed compiler product and further recite that the differential name is distinct. These changes require further consideratio; besides, the claims as amended would not alleviate the issues of an Appeal Brief; hence the amendments are not entered since the above changes do not put the application in condition for immediate allowance. The arguments about Ungar and Porter not teaching encoding of program source code and applying differential compression upon that form of encoded symbols are under the ambit of the arguments submitted in the previous response for which the final rejection was made. Hence, the arguments are herein referred to the response effectuated in the above mentioned Final Action. As a result of such, the claims are still rejected as per the previous submission and in view of the above explanations, the claims as amended require further consideration and will not be entered to alleviate issues of a potential Appeal Brief.